28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8 9	EROTIC SERVICE PROVIDER LEGAL No. C 15-01007 JSW EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PROJECT, ET AL.,
10	Plaintiffs,
11	V. ORDER DISCLOSING LETTER
12	GEORGE GASCON, ET AL.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	
16	On July 7, 2015, the Court notified the parties that the undersigned's son is a Deputy City
17	Attorney with the San Francisco City Attorney's Office, which represents Defendant in this case.
18	The Court also advised the parties that, in connection with a previous case, the Court sought a
19	formal opinion from the Committee on Codes of Conduct of the Judicial Conference of the United
20	States, regarding a request for recusal. The Committee issued a thorough opinion outlining the
21	circumstances in which recusal might be warranted, and it found that recusal was not required in that
22	case. Having received a request for the letter from Plaintiffs' counsel, the Court attaches the opinion
23	from the Committee on Codes of Conduct.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: July 9, 2015 JEFFRE S. WHITE
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	